

Boston, MA 02110-2804

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/646,858 08/22/2003		Steven Lowen	04843-043001 1524		
7:	7590 05/19/2006		EXAMINER		
CHARLES H. SANDERS			HORWAT, JENNIFER A		
Fish & Richardson P.C.			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
225 Franklin Street			AKTONII	PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED: 05/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/646,	858	LOWEN ET AL.			
		Examin	er	Art Unit			
		Jennifer		3768			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ R	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 August 2003</u> .						
2a)∐ TI	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) <u></u> Si	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
cl	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 August 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice of 3) Informa) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT lo(s)/Mail Date <u>7/8/04</u> 5/7/04.		4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date	ΓΟ-152)		

Art Unit: 3768

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 9-19, 21-23, and 25-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Evans, et al (US 6858003) in view of Cosman (US 6662036). Evans discloses a system including an optical system including two cameras (figure 2B, elements 38a and 38b), which are located outside of the body of a patient to provide motion tracking of a site (col 22, line 27). In one embodiment, passive elements are used to detect 3D motion of the site, which may be markers or IR reflectors (col 32, lines 40-46), so that light may be detected. A processor allows for data to be processed to compensate for movement of the subject (figure 6, elements 552 and 554) wherein processor may be a computer (figure 4) and the system additionally includes a display (figure 6, element 556) and memory (figure 40A-I, element 1868). Evans, however, fails to disclose combination of the system with a scanning protocol.

Cosman also discloses a system for position or motion tracking wherein the positioning system uses two cameras for tracking including integrated sources of radiation in the form of LEDs in which the camera detects light reflected from four markers (col 7, lines 33-45 and figure 2). Cosman explicitly discloses a variety of markers and reflectors, such as reflecting spheres, and it would be an obvious

Art Unit: 3768

modification to use mirrors as the reflective surface disclosed by Cosman (col 4, lines 5-26). The system determines the position of the patient's body with respect to a treatment or imaging machine (abstract), such as an x-ray machine for diagnostic imaging, but may also be a CT, MRI, simulator, PET, or other imaging machine used in an analogous manner (col 11, lines 4-7). Motion may also be tracked when the patient is moved in a variety of ways, including translation in multiple directions, as shown in figure 5, and rotation, as shown in figure 1. The system may be used on any part of a patient's body, however it is explicitly shown that markers are put on both the head and chest of the patient (figures 5 and 11). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the disclosure of Evans in light of the reference by Cosman, as Cosman states it is advantageous to correlate scan data with camera data to enable desired positioning as well as an effective graphics display (col 2, lines 11-15).

3. Claims 3 and 24 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Evans in view of Cosman as applied to claims 2 and 21 above, and further in view of Beetz, Jr., et al (US 6045677). Evans in view of Cosman, as discussed above, substantially discloses the invention as claimed, however fails to explicitly disclose the properties of the cameras used. However, a variety of cameras are known in the imaging art. For example, a microchannel plate is well known in the art for used in imaging apparatus (col 4, line 40) such as a variety of physical science instrumentation, streak cameras as they have immunity to magnetic fields (col 2, line 51). Therefore, such a camera would function in a magnetic resonance scanner with field strength of

Application/Control Number: 10/646,858

Art Unit: 3768

more than 100 Gauss without loss of accuracy. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the disclosures of Evans and Cosman in light of the teachings in the reference by Beetz, as it would be obviously necessary to use a camera that can withstand the field strength of an MRI if the camera system is to be used in an MRI, as disclosed by Cosman.

Page 4

- Evans in view of Cosman as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Schmitz (US 6050724). Evans in view of Cosman, as discussed above, substantially disclose the invention as claimed, however fail to disclose the configuration of the two cameras in relation to the imaging system. Schmitz also discloses a system using two cameras and an imaging device for position detection and further discloses that the two infrared CCD cameras are mounted to the side of the imaging system (col 5, lines 32-33) as shown in figure 1. The axis of the imaging system runs directly through the center of the imaging system, therefore creating a 45 degree angle between each camera and the axis of the scanner. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the disclosure of Evans in view of Cosman in light of the teachings in the reference by Schmitz, as mounting the cameras on the imaging system itself advantageously eliminates one calibration or registration step, as the two systems are rigidly registered to each other.
- 5. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Evans in view of Cosman as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Nakagawa, et al (US 2002/0122117). Evans in view of Cosman, as discussed above, substantially discloses

Application/Control Number: 10/646,858

Art Unit: 3768

the invention as claimed, however fails to explicitly disclose the accuracy of the cameras used. A variety of cameras are well known in the imaging art, such as the one disclosed by Nakagawa. Nakagawa discloses a camera device for imaging which is capable of being used for accurate measurement. The CCD is capable f accuracy within 0.1mm or less (paragraph 109). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the disclosures of Evans and Cosman in light of the reference by Nakagawa, as it would be an obvious advantage to use a high accuracy camera, as Nakagawa states for measurement, for use in a medical or surgical system as the measurements and positioning obtained is critical to the health of the patient.

Page 5

6. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Evans in view of Cosman as applied to claim 14 above, and further in view of Ward, et al ("Prospective Multiaxial Motion Correction for fMRI", Magnetic Resonance in Medicine, 2000). Evans in view of Cosman, as discussed above, substantially discloses the invention as claimed, however fails to disclose testing motion correction algorithms. Ward discloses a system for motion correction in an imaging system wherein testing of the system and the motion correction algorithms used is done using computerized motion phantoms. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the disclosures of Evans and Cosman in light of the teachings in the reference by Ward to include testing motion correction algorithms, as Ward states that motion is a known problem in MRI images and testing algorithms allow for improved motion correction of the images.

Art Unit: 3768

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Simon, et al (US 6990368) and Westerman, et al (US 6259942) disclose devices of note.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer Horwat whose telephone number is (571) 272-2811. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eleni Mantis-Mercader can be reached on (571) 272-4740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jah 5/9/06

ELENI MANTIS-MERCADFI
PRIMARY FYAMINER

Page 6